

2. Has Mountain conducted a cost-of-service study to address the concerns set out in Appendix A of the March 15, 1991 letter to the city manager of Pikeville? If yes, file the study.

3. Does Mountain accept as accurate the financial information used to determine the rates charged by Pikeville? If no, specifically identify the information with which it disagrees and explain the basis for its concerns.

4. Provide the number of gallons purchased each year from Pikeville from July 1, 1991 to present.

5. Does Mountain desire to increase its contract limit from 1.5 MGD? If yes, explain.

Questions for Pikeville Municipal Water Utility

6. Provide Mountain's annual purchases from Pikeville from July 1, 1991 to present.

7. Does Pikeville own and maintain all master meters used to serve Mountain? Are there meters other than those set out on Exhibit A, page 1 of 4 of the Memorandum of Agreement?

8. Provide information regarding benefits Mountain will receive from the capital improvements allocated to it for booster pumps (\$7,224) and for storage tanks (\$5,269).

9. Refer to Mountain's cost analysis, page 5. Do you agree with the inch-mile data set out in Table 1? Explain.

10. Provide the average daily production for the water treatment plant.

11. Provide the number of gallons considered to be plant use.

12. Provide the number of gallons classified as line loss.

13. Provide a copy of the January 19, 1990 report of Summitt Engineering referred to in Exhibit E of Pikeville's application.

14. Explain in detail how debt service was allocated to Mountain.

15. Since Mountain has a contract limit of 1.5 MGD, should Pikeville use this amount instead of the 2.25 MGD currently allocated to Mountain?

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 2nd day of October, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

  
For the Commission

ATTEST:

  
Executive Director